

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

09/530,375 07/07/00

ORMEROD ABLE-0014

EXAMINER HM12/0705 JANE MASSEY LICATA

LAW OFFICES OF JANE MASSEY LICATA WILLIAMSON, M 66 EAST MAIN STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT MARLTON NJ 08053 1616

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

07/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	A = 1 = - 4 = - A = - A = 1 =
Office Action Cummens	Application No. 19/538,375 ()RMERIOD Hab
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-
Prid for Reply	4
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	D EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . te, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1/7/2000
☐ This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exceptions accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disp siti n of Claims	
Claim(s) 24-39	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 24-39	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	
	requirement.
	requirement.
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.	requirement. g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection. The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	requirement. g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	requirement. g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	requirement. g Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection. The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § None of the CERTIFIED copies of	requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ader 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority upon All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ader 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority umage. All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ader 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been er) ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority umage of the CERTIFIED copies of received. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority umage of the CERTIFIED copies of received. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Certified copies not received:	requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ader 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been er) ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority umage of the CERTIFIED copies of received. The received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the cereived in this national stage application from the Interview.)	requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ader 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been er) ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ader 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been er) ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 09/530,375 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Pending Claims

The pending claims in the instant application are 24-39. The only independent claim is 24.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 24-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The recitation of "pharmacologically active analogue, derivative or pro-drug thereof" does not adequately describe the materials used and/or how to make said materials that meet the limitations as described above.

Application/Control Number: 09/530,375 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 24-26 and 28-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gans et al. (U.S. Patent 5,648,389).

Gans et al. generically discloses a composition comprising erythromycin and caprylic acid in an amount of 0.1 to 30% by weight (see col. 2, lines 41-57) that may include other therapeutic agents in a dermatologically acceptable carrier (see col. 3, lines 26-45) that is topically applied (see Abstract and col. 2, lines 26-41) that is used in the treatment of dermatological conditions. Gans et al. does not specifically disclose the instant claimed invention.

Gans et al. does disclose that the materials are selected from functionally equivalent topical agents. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the materials taught be Gans et al. since the materials are functionally equivalent and it would have been within the purview of one skill in the art to select the materials of the instant claimed invention without undue experimentation

Art Unit: 1616

since the materials are functionally equivalent in the absence of a factual showing to the contrary or a showing of unexpected results.

5.

Crystal Mall 1 Facsimile Center

A facsimile center has been established in Crystal Mall 1, room 7C10. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 308-4556. The new location should be used in all instances when faxing any correspondence to Group 1600. Use of the new Crystal Mall 1 center will facilitate rapid delivery of materials to the Group. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Michael A. Williamson whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Michael A. Williamson Patent Examiner Group 1610

Williamson010630 June 30, 2001